UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/597,309 | 07/20/2006 | Walid Ali | US040114 | 9203 |
| | 7590 12/31/200 LLECTUAL PROPER | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 3001 | | BIEGEL, RONALD L | | |
| BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2857 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 12/31/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/597,309 | ALI, WALID | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Ronald L. Biegel | 2857 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)☑ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ju | ly 2000 | | | | | |
| • | | - | | | | | |
| 3)□ | <i>;</i> — | | | | | | |
| 3)[| Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1933 C.D. 11, 4. | 03 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) 🖂 | Claim(s) 3-5 and 7-22 is/are pending in the app | olication. | | | | | |
| · | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| | ✓ Claim(s) 3-5,7-19,21 and 22 is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| | ☑ Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) | — | | | | | | |
| 8) | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | |
| ا ا(٥ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)□ | The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| , | Applicant may not request that any objection to the o | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| | The patrol declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached office Action of form 1.70-102. | | | | | | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic 3) Inform | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | ate | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/597,309 Page 2

Art Unit: 2857

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 3-5, and 7-22 are now pending in this application. Claims 1, 2, and 6 are cancelled. Claims 21 and 22 are newly added by amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. **Claim 20** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Thakor et al. "Multiway Sequential Hypothesis Testing for Tachyarrhythmia Discrimination", Notice of References Cited, PTO-892, U (hereinafter Thakor).

Regarding **claim 20**, Thakor teaches employing hypothesis testing against each of a plurality of monitored signals (Thakor, page 482, left col, line 54 to right col, line 5) to determine whether an artifact is present in the plurality of monitored signals, in which a null hypothesis includes an assumption that pairs of samples of plurality of monitored signals have a common distribution (Thakor, page 480, start of Section A, to page 481, end of Section A) as corresponding pairs of historical versions (Thakor, page 482, Sec. B) of the monitored signals; and determine that an artifact may exist in one of the plurality of monitored signals when a likelihood that the null hypothesis is true falls below a predetermined confidence level (Thakor, page 481, eq. 2 and 3a to 3c).

Application/Control Number: 10/597,309 Page 3

Art Unit: 2857

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 3-5, 7-19, 21 and 22 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments, see page 12, line 1 to page 13, line 24, filed July 30, 2009, with respect to **claims 3-5, 7-19, 21** and **22** have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of **claims 3-5, 7-19, 21** and **22** has been withdrawn.
- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to **claim 20** have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/597,309 Page 4

Art Unit: 2857

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald L. Biegel whose telephone number is (571) 272-2523. The examiner can normally be reached M-Th 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eliseo Ramos-Feliciano can be reached on (571) 272-7925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-270-2389.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ronald L Biegel/ Examiner, Art Unit 2857

> /Eliseo Ramos-Feliciano/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2857